

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

EIS001071

I agree with the following statements which I have checked:



RECEIVED

JAN 28 2000

- ☐ The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
- ☐ The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
- ☐ The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
- ☐ The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
- ☐ The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
- ☐ The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- ☐ Other NUCLEAR waste rail car draft - Then what? you have
Kill us all - in Nevada.

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation.

My comments for the record are:

1. Do not put radiocitivity of waste here in Nevada.
2. Nevada is not a high level nuclear waste "dump".
3. Just stop it now.
4. We do not want rails loaded with nuclear waste - in our back yards.
5. Ship the nuclear waste some ~~other~~ place - Nevada is our home do not ruin our water.
6. Our grand children is growing up - give them a chance - in stead you are going to ~~kill~~ them.
7. What you ar planning A rail way thro - Crescent Valley is real scary - Take a gun and shoot everyone - same thing.
- 2 continue 8. Bury the dangerous waste - ^{where?} Do not use Nev.
- 4 If you people can not control the dangerous things they create do not create it at all. "STOP IT."